

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United trates Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/497,865	02/04/2000	Donald C. D. Chang	PD-980034	7997	
20991	7590 05/14/2003				
HUGHES ELECTRONICS CORPORATION PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION BLDG 001 M/S A109			EXAMINER .		
			ISSING, GREGORY C		
P O BOX 956 EL SEGUNDO	O. CA 902450956	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•	3662			
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. D6497,865 CHANG ET AL.	1)				HR.					
Examiner Gregory C. Issing 3682			Application No. Applicant(s)							
aregory C. Issing are possible Communication aregory C. Issing are possible Communication aregory	Office Action Summary		09/497,865		CHANG ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editations or time may be available under the provisions of 37 cFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Editations or time may be valiable under the provisions of 37 cFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Editation of the priod for reply appetited above in less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the studiety minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply appetition to reply with the priod for reply specified above, the machine studing period will apply and will expire S(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallow to reply within the set or entended prior to reply will, by status, cause the application to become ABARDONED (81 U.S.C. § 133). Calline to reply within the set of entended prior to reply will. By status, cause the application, were full filed to the communication, which is the communication. Fallow to reply within the set of entended prior to reply will. By status, cause the supplication to become ABARDONED (81 U.S.C. § 133). Calline to reply within the set of entended prior to reply will be station in the set of the communication. 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is final. 2b) □ This action is final. 2b) □ The proposed that the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Clalims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.5 and 7.37 (s/are pending in the application. 4a) □ Claim(s) 1.5 and 7.32 (s/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.5 and 7.32 (s/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.5 and 7.32 (s/are rejected. 7) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11 □ The proposed drawing are required in reply to this Office action. 12 □			Examiner		Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of time may be available under the growtions of 37 CFR 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extractions of time may be available under the growtions of 37 CFR 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extractions of time any be available under the growtions of 37 CFR 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vili expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failuse to previously will file set or extracted period for requiry limit patients. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vili expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failuse to previously will file set or extracted period for requiry limit patients. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vili apply and vili expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failuse to previously will reply set of this communication. Failuse to previously will file set or extraction and for all period of the communication. Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are pending in the application. 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 1-1 is/are: a) 1-1 accepted or b) 1-1 objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 1-1 is/are: a) 1-1 accepte			Gregory C. Iss	sing	3662					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenticates or time may be available under the processions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Edenticates or time may be available under the processions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ### A the priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulary printing for reply specified above, the maximum statulary period will apply and will expire 3K(e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely (30) days will be considered timely. #### A the priod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statulary printing and the priod of the	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attechment(s)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ⟨Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⟨Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⟨Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⟨Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⟨Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ⟨Claim(s) are subject to by the Examiner. 10) ⟨Claim(s) are subject to the examiner. 11) ⟨Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ⟨Claim(s) are subject to the examiner. 11) ⟨Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ⟨Claim(s) are subject to the examiner. 11) ⟨Claim(s) is/are	1)[🖂									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non	-final.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	· <u> </u>									
6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	7)	<u> </u>								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requi	rement.	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application	on Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		**		• •						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	_ ,									
	Attachment(s)									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 22. 6) Other:										

Art Unit: 3662

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "receiver for causing a transmission using the corresponding element" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 21 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of claims 1, 7, 21 and 37, the language "said receiver causing a transmission using the corresponding element" fails to clearly and distinctly set forth the subject matter since it is not clear how a receiver, as opposed to a transmitter, causes a transmission.

In claim 1, lines 17-18, the language "determining signal strengths for the coded element signal" is grammatically incorrect/indefinite due to inclarity of "signal strengths" of a "signal".

In claim 7, the language "before locking off" is not understood.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-9, 11, 13-18, 21-22 and 25-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karlsson et al in view of Chiba et al, Chang et al and Aoki et al.

Art Unit: 3662

Karlsson et al teach the use of a satellite terminal antenna that combines mechanical scanning in the azimuth direction and electronic one-dimensional scanning in the elevation direction wherein the antenna is rotated mechanically such that multiple satellites are within the elevation scanning plane of the antenna. Multiple beams are taught and shown for the purpose of simultaneously tracking the multiple satellites for providing soft handover. Karlsson et al differ from the claimed subject matter since the claimed digital beam former is not specified; Karlsson et al merely describe a phased array antenna. The progress in digital device technologies has led to the use of DBF antennas for use in commercial communication system, most suitably, mobile radio systems, as taught by Chiba et al. The advantageous features of DBF for use in phased array antennas as a replacement for analog beam forming are clearly identified therein. Chang et al describe a specific digital beam forming technique that is efficient and utilizes fewer ADCs than conventional digital beam formers, resulting in lower power requirements, weight, complexity and cost; Figure 2 exemplifies the technique, including the claimed "multiplexor" 180, "analog to digital converter" 198, and "circuitry for forming multiple digital beam forms" 130. A digital receiver is inherent. Aoki et al teach antenna system having digital processing wherein the antenna provides beams which are automatically transmitted in the direction of arrival of the incoming received signals as would be desired in a communication system.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Karlsson et al by using/substituting a digital beam former for the electronically scanned antenna beams in view of the progress in such direction in the art as shown by either one of Chiba et al or Suzuki et al for the reasons set forth above. Moreover, it would have been further obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the digital

Art Unit: 3662

beam former by using the DBF technique expressed by Chang et al so as to provide a lightweight and less costly device for a user terminal. Additionally, it would have been obvious to further modify the prior art to provide an adaptive antenna array wherein the received signals are utilized to process a transmission signal in the direction of the received signal in order to facilitate communication therebetween in view of the teaching o Aoki et al. The combination of references make obvious the claimed subject matter wherein the specifics of the claimed digital beam former are explicitly shown by the Chang et al reference. The dependent features are either shown or made obvious by the combination of references.

Applicants argue (1) that Karlsson et al fail to show any digital beamforming and fail to take into account the retrodirective approach now recited in the claims; (2) Chiba et al fail to teach retrodirectivity; (3) Chang et al fail to teach use of coding for retrodirectivity. The digital beamforming is made obvious in light of Chiba et al. Additionally, Chiba et al disclose the use of digital beamforming for providing multiple beams covering an area and selecting the beam with the maximum receiving power. Then, in a mobile satellite communication, the satellite signal is captured. Further, Chiba et al disclose an advantage of the use of DBF for adaptive array signal processing. Adaptive arrays have the inherent feature of placing nulls in the directions of interferences as well as one or more main lobes in the directions of desired targets. In view of the fact that Chiba et al, as well as all of the other references, are directed to a communication system, transmission and reception are inherent. Lastly, Aoki et al show the conventionality and well-known features of a retrodirective antenna.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

Page 5

6. Claims 2, 3, 10, 12, 19, 20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined prior art set forth above as applied to claims above, and further in view of Ajioka and Barrett et al.

Karlsson et al in view of Chiba et al, Chang et al and Aoki et al teach a satellite communication retrodirective terminal having mechanical azimuth scanning and electronic elevation scanning using digital beam forming techniques that allow for soft handover as set forth above. The combined prior art fails to specify the electronically scanned antenna array as comprising cross-slotted waveguides, each including a septum. Ajioka teach the conventionality of a cross-slotted waveguide having a septum for use in a phased array antenna. Barrett et al teach the conventionality of a slotted antenna array for use in a satellite communication terminal wherein azimuth scanning can be achieved mechanically and elevation scanning can be achieved electronically. In view of the conventionality of cross-slotted waveguides in scanning antenna arrays as shown by each of Ajioika and Barrett et al, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the scanning antenna arrays of either one of Richards et al or Karlsson et al by substituting a cross-slotted waveguide array. Ajioka further shows the use of a septum for controlling characteristics of the antenna output.

Applicants argue the same limitations of the independent claims for these dependent claims. As set forth above, the combined prior art teaches the claimed subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory C. Issing whose telephone number is (703)-306-4156. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3662

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703)-306-4171. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Gregory C Issing Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662

gci May 12, 2003